



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,880	10/620,880 07/16/2003		Kazuhisa Senda	121036-055	9102
35684	7590	09/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BUTZEI	LONG		MITCHELL, KATHERINE W		
350 SOU' SUITE 30		STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104				3677	
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/26/2000	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/620,880	SENDA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Katherine W. Mitchell	3677

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a even if timely filed
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further contains</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i E below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-9,21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	d before on an the date of filling a N	ation of Ammanlill ma	.4 h
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	Mitchel
		Katherine W Mitche Examiner	•

Art Unit: 3677

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the translation does not disclose any limitations of step "C" of the independent claim 21: the addition reaction type silicone rubber comprising: (A) 100 parts by weight of an alkenyl roup-containing organopolysiloxane and (B) such an nmount of organohydrogenpolysiloxane having at least 2 H atoms directly bonded to a Si atom in one molecule ms to make 0.4 - 5.0 parts of the H atom to one part of the alkenyl group of component (A), and the adhesive component comprising (C) an organosilicone compound having at least one H atom directly bonded to a Si atom. Thus the applied reference is still considered a valid teaching.